

| | | |
|---|--------------------|------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/620,929 | ST. CLAIR, LAWRENCE B. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Mujtaba K. Chaudry | 2133 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Mujtaba K. Chaudry.

(3) _____.

(2) Philip S. Lyren.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 December 2006

Time: 11am est.

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1-7, 10, 15, 16, 21 and 23

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

An agreement was reached in amending the claims as shown in the office action to put them in better form.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

12/4/06

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)